# Receivership Schools ONLY

#### Quarterly Report #1: July 1, 2018 to October 13, 2018 (Due October 31, 2018)

| School Name                        | School BEDS Code             | District                                    | Lead Partner or EPO | Hyperlink to where this report will be posted website: |       |        | d on the district   |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------------|--|-------|--------|---------------------|
| Martin Luther<br>King, Jr. Academy | 662300-01-0046               | Yonkers City<br>Schools District            | N/A                 | Check which plan be                                    |       |        |                     |
| King, Jr. Acudenty                 |                              | SIG   |                     | SIG  |       | SCEP X |                     |
|                                    |                              |   |                     | Cohort (5, 6, or 7):                                   | -     |        |                     |
|                                    |                              |   |                     | Model:   |       |        |                     |
| Superintendent/EPO                 | School Principal             | Additional District S<br>Program Oversight  | taff working on     | Grade<br>Configuration                                 | % ELL | % SWD  | Total<br>Enrollment |
| Dr. Edwin M.<br>Quezada            | Ms. Natalie Davy             | Executive Director of School<br>Improvement |                     | PreK-8   | 11.9% | 13%    | 521                 |
|                                    | Appointment Date:<br>8/15/13 |   |                     |  |       |        |                     |

#### **Executive Summary**

Please provide a <u>plain-language summary</u> of this quarter in terms of implementing key strategies, engaging the community, enacting Receivership, and assessing Level 1 and Level 2 indicator data. The summary should be written in terms easily understood by the community-at-large. Please avoid terms and acronyms that are unfamiliar to the public, and limit the summary to <u>no more than 500 words</u>.

Students in kindergarten through eighth grades took the Fall 2018 MAP assessments in ELA and Mathematics. The new Fall Academic Intervention Services (AIS) groups were reconfigured based on Lexile levels and student growth. Implemented changes were for each student within the nine grade levels. All teachers continue to provide daily support for the Lexile-based AIS groupings for both ELA and Mathematics.

MLKA's new initiatives include United States Tennis Association (USTA) Eastern Section in collaboration with Yonkers Tennis Center (YTC); Colgate Dental- provided 94 free dental screenings to students in collaboration with the Links Organization of Westchester. For the 2018-2019 academic year, we will continue data driven instruction, administration of the MAP assessment three times per academic year, school-wide initiatives such as interactive vocabulary words, and improving the identification, usage of cross-curricular instruction, academic vocabulary, grade level math G3, and grades 3-8 multiplication skills. The STEAM Committee for MLKA provided professional development for MLKA staff in Robotics and Coding in order to support STEAM Fridays, a new initiative at MLKA.



The MLKA administration and staff continue to support family and community involvement and engagement in our many events, and the PTSA. The Westchester Food Bank continues to support the needs of the school community by providing 300 grocery bags of food on a monthly basis. The school has received two deliveries for families this academic school year. Based on the Community Schools Model, services provided students and families include being serviced by WJCS and St. Joseph/Spectrum Wellness Clinic. Information can be found on the website and the twitter page.

<u>Attention</u> – This document is intended to be completed by the school receiver and/or its designee and submitted electronically to <u>OISR@NYSED.gov</u>. It is a self-assessment of the implementation and outcomes of key strategies related to receivership, and as such, should <u>not</u> be considered a formal evaluation on the part of the New York State Education Department. This document also serves as the Progress Review Report for receivership schools receiving Persistently Struggling School (PSSG), School Improvement Grant (SIG), and Community School Grant (CSG) funds. Additionally, this document serves as the quarterly reporting instrument for receivership schools with School Comprehensive Education Plans (SCEP). The Quarterly Report, in its entirety, <u>must be posted</u> on the district web-site.



**Directions for Parts I and II** - District and school staff should respond to the sections of this document by both analyzing and summarizing the key strategies of the first quarter in light of their realized level of implementation and their impact on student learning outcomes. The district should ensure the key strategies address the needs of all learners, particularly the needs of subgroups of students and those at risk for not meeting the challenging state academic standards. District and school staff should consider the impact of proposed key strategies on student learning, as well as the long-term sustainability and connectivity of those key strategies to diagnostic review feedback.

#### <u>Part I</u> – Demonstrable Improvement Indicators (Level 1)

| Identify Indicator<br># and Name                          | Baseline | 2018-19<br>Progress<br>Target | Status<br>(R/Y/G) | Based on the<br>implementation states<br>school expect to mean<br>progress target for<br>For each Level 1 into<br>answer yes or no below | et the 2018-19<br>this indicator?<br>dicator, please | What are the SCEP/SIG goals and or key<br>strategies that have supported progress<br>made in meeting this indicator?<br>Describe adjustments made to key<br>strategies since the approval of the 18-<br>19 continuation plan and a rationale as<br>to why these adjustments were made.  | List the formative data points being<br>used to assess progress towards<br>meeting the target for this<br>indicator?   | Based upon those formative data<br>points, provide quantitative<br>and/or qualitative statement(s)<br>that demonstrate impact<br>towards meeting the target.  |
|---|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| 9.<br>Gr. 3-8/ ELA/ All<br>students Level 2<br>and above. | 33%      | 39%                           |                   | Yes  |  | Infuse academic vocabulary and build<br>word knowledge. Teachers will<br>continue to provide AIS morning<br>programs. We will continue to offer<br>Extended Learning Time (ELT) in the<br>a.m./p.m. in grades K-8.<br>Scholastic implementation of cross-<br>curricular higher-order questioning<br>techniques to continue to build rigor<br>throughout all subject areas.<br>Continue individualized goal-setting for<br>educational progress with all students. | Fall 2018 MAP <u>Reading</u> assessments<br>Mean RIT by grade:<br>K to 1st Grade: +13 increase<br>1 <sup>st</sup> to 2nd Grade: +18.4 increase<br>2 <sup>nd</sup> to 3rd Grade: +10.1 increase<br>3 <sup>rd</sup> to 4th Grade: +9.2 increase<br>4 <sup>th</sup> to 5th Grade: +6.8 increase<br>5 <sup>th</sup> to 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +5.1 increase<br>6 <sup>th</sup> to 7 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +5.1 increase<br>7 <sup>th</sup> to 8 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +10.1 increase | (#9)The percentage of 3rd – 8th<br>grade students on or above<br>grade level as measured by MAP<br>reading assessments has<br>increased in RIT score by 4<br>points and greater from Fall<br>2017 to Fall 2018<br>Gr. 3-8 2017 NYS ELA Scores 2+<br>above:<br>Gr. 3- 58.8% Gr. 6- 52%<br>Gr. 4- 58.2% Gr. 7- 56.6%<br>Gr. 5- 49% Gr. 8- 76.7%<br>MAP fall 2018 Projected<br>Proficiency for Level 2 and |



|   |     |     |     |   |  | above on the NYSED ELA is 47.2%  |
|---|-----|-----|-----|---|--|--|
| 15.<br>Gr. 3-8/ Math/<br>All students<br>Level 2 and<br>above | 26% | 32% | Yes | Academic year focus will be<br>mathematics on grade level along with<br>academic vocabulary on grade level.School-wide intervention for grades 3-8.<br>Multiplication proficiency and strategies<br>to support all mathematic functions on<br>all grade levels. "Math Skill of the<br>Week" for the 2018-19 academic year.Use Kahn Academy and Sumdog<br>computerized programs as<br>supplemental toolsNo longer offering Algebra to 8 <sup>th</sup> grade<br>math from the 7 <sup>th</sup> grade curriculum<br>taught last academic year.The 8 <sup>th</sup> grade mathematics curriculum<br>for 2018-19 at MLKA has been revised.Eighth grade students will no longer<br>take 9 <sup>th</sup> grade Algebra. Eighth grade<br> | After the administration of the Fall<br>2018 MAP <u>Math</u> assessments all<br>grade levels showed increased<br>growth from 2017 to 2018. Their<br>increases are listed by Mean RIT by<br>grade level:<br>K to 1st Grade: +13.5 increase<br>1 <sup>st</sup> to 2nd Grade: +16.9 increase<br>2 <sup>nd</sup> to 3rd Grade: +10 increase<br>3 <sup>rd</sup> to 4th Grade: +8.7 increase<br>3 <sup>rd</sup> to 4th Grade: +8.7 increase<br>4 <sup>th</sup> to 5th Grade: +7.7 increase<br>5 <sup>th</sup> to 6 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +4.8 increase<br>6 <sup>th</sup> to 7 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +3.6 increase<br>7 <sup>th</sup> to 8 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +7.2 increase<br>Please refer to the MLKA Grade<br>comparison chart for Fall 2017/ Fall<br>2018, along with grade level norms. | (#15) The percentage of 3rd –<br>8th grade students on or above<br>grade level as measured by MAI<br>math assessments has increased<br>in RIT score by 3.6 points and<br>greater from Fall 2017 to Fall<br>2018<br>Gr. 3-8 2017 NYS Math Scores<br>2+ above:<br>Gr. 3- 41.5% Gr. 6- 46.0%<br>Gr. 4- 60.0% Gr. 7- 31.5%<br>Gr. 5- 46.7% Gr. 8- 35.5%<br>Fall 2018 MAP Projected<br>Proficiency Report Level 2 and<br>above on the Spring Math Test<br>is 42.5%. |



| 33.               | 45.09% | 47.09% | Yes | Continue to use MAP data to monitor    | Fall 2018 MAP Reading assessments                        | (#9)The percentage of 3rd – 8th |
|-------------------|--------|--------|-----|--|--|---------------------------------|
|                   |        |        |     | student progress. Continue ELA/AIS     | Mean RIT by grade:                                       | grade students on or above      |
| ELA/ All students |        |        |     | periods daily.                         |  | grade level as measured by MAP  |
| MGP.              |        |        |     |  | K to 1st Grade: +13 increase                             | reading assessments has         |
|                   |        |        |     | Continue "ELA Skill of the Week", Word | 1 <sup>st</sup> to 2nd Grade: +18.4 increase             | increased in RIT score by 4     |
|                   |        |        |     | of the Week, and G3 ELA (AIS)          | 2 <sup>nd</sup> to 3rd Grade: +10.1 increase             | points and greater from Fall    |
|                   |        |        |     |  | 3 <sup>rd</sup> to 4th Grade: +9.2 increase              | 2017 to Fall 2018               |
|                   |        |        |     |  | 4 <sup>th</sup> to 5th Grade: +6.8 increase              |                                 |
|                   |        |        |     |  | 5 <sup>th</sup> to 6 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +5.1 increase  | Gr. 3-8 2017 NYS ELA Scores 2+  |
|                   |        |        |     |  | 6 <sup>th</sup> to 7 <sup>th</sup> Grade: + 4.7 increase | above:                          |
|                   |        |        |     |  | 7 <sup>th</sup> to 8 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +10.1 increase |                                 |
|                   |        |        |     |  |  | Gr. 3- 58.8% Gr. 6- 52%         |
|                   |        |        |     |  |  | Gr. 4- 58.2% Gr. 7- 56.6%       |
|                   |        |        |     |  |  | Gr. 5- 49% Gr. 8- 76.7%         |
|                   |        |        |     |  |  |                                 |
|                   |        |        |     |  |  | MAP fall 2018 Projected         |
|                   |        |        |     |  |  | Proficiency for Level 2 and     |
|                   |        |        |     |  |  | above on the NYSED ELA is       |
|                   |        |        |     |  |  | 47.2%                           |
| 39.               | 50.02% | 52.02% | Yes | Continue to use MAP data to monitor    | After the administration of the Fall                     | Sumdog                          |
|                   |        |        |     | student progress. New this year,       | 2018 MAP Math assessments all                            | 5                               |
| Gr. 3-8/ Math/    |        |        |     | implementation of Math/AIS periods.    | grade levels showed increased                            | Math grant for grades 5 & 6     |
| All students      |        |        |     |  | growth from 2017 to 2018. Their                          | providing professional          |
| MGP.              |        |        |     | G3 Math (AIS)                          | increases are listed by Mean RIT by                      | development for teachers;       |
| -                 |        |        |     |  | grade level:   | MLKA hosted a teacher training  |
|                   |        |        |     | Use of Sumdog, a math supplemental     |  | for the District                |
|                   |        |        |     | tool used in grades K-8                | K to 1st Grade: +13.5 increase                           |                                 |
|                   |        |        |     |  | 1 <sup>st</sup> to 2nd Grade: +16.9 increase             | See Indicator (Level 1) for     |
|                   |        |        |     | Grades 3-8 Multiplication focus        | 2 <sup>nd</sup> to 3rd Grade: +10 increase               | instructional data              |
|                   |        |        |     |  | 3 <sup>rd</sup> to 4th Grade: +8.7 increase              |                                 |
|                   |        |        |     | Academic Vocabulary for all subject    | 4 <sup>th</sup> to 5th Grade: +7.7 increase              |                                 |
|                   |        |        |     | areas                                  | 5 <sup>th</sup> to 6 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +4.8 increase  |                                 |
|                   |        |        |     |  | 6 <sup>th</sup> to 7 <sup>th</sup> Grade: + 3.6 increase |                                 |
|                   |        |        |     |  | 7 <sup>th</sup> to 8 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +7.2 increase  |                                 |
|                   |        |        |     |  |  |                                 |



|       |  |        |   | comparison c | o the MLKA Grade<br>hart for Fall 2017/ Fall<br>vith grade level norms.   |
|-------|--|--------|---|--------------|---|
| Green | Expected results for this phase of the project are fully met, work is on budget, and the school is fully implementing this strategy <u>with impact</u> . | Yellow | Some barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending exist; with adaptation/correction school will be able to achieve desired results. | Red          | Major barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending encountered;<br>results are at-risk of not being realized; major strategy adjustment is<br>required. |

## <u>Part II</u> – Demonstrable Improvement Indicators (Level 2)

| Identify Indicator<br># and Name                            | Baseline | 2018-19<br>Progress<br>Target | Status<br>(R/Y/G) | Based on the current<br>implementation status, does the<br>school expect to meet the 2018-<br>19 progress target for this<br>indicator? For each Level 2<br>indicator, please answer yes or no<br>below. | What are the SCEP/SIG goals and or key<br>strategies which have supported<br>progress made in meeting this indicator?<br>Describe adjustments made to key<br>strategies since the approval of the 18-19<br>continuation plan and a rationale as to<br>why these adjustments were made.   | List the formative data points<br>being used to assess progress<br>towards meeting the target for<br>this indicator?                       | Based upon those formative<br>data points, provide quantitative<br>and/or qualitative statement(s)<br>which demonstrate impact<br>towards meeting the target.   |
|---|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|---|
| 4. Student<br>Suspension Rate<br>(out of school)            | 35%      | 32%                           |                   | Yes  | The total number of students suspended<br>during this quarter was <u>8</u> . The school-<br>wide implementation of restorative<br>practices extends to classrooms, recess,<br>administration, and with parental<br>conferences. Additionally, off-task<br>behaviors are addressed by working with<br>the Pupil Support Team, WJCS, PBIS, and<br>administration to work with families and<br>community mental health agencies to<br>assist where medically necessary. | The MLKA suspension report was<br>run for September 2018 through<br>October 2018.<br>There were <u>8</u> suspensions during<br>this period | Students, staff, and parents have<br>embraced restorative practices,<br>simply known around the school<br>as "meetings." Parents converse<br>and collaborate regarding<br>student behaviors, working<br>together to solve student issues<br>along with teachers and<br>administration.  |
| 11. Gr. 3-8/ ELA/<br>Black students<br>Level 2 and<br>above | 23%      | 29%                           |                   | Yes  | <ul> <li>MAP scores have been disaggregated by subgroup.</li> <li>Black students will participate in daily ELTprograms along with AIS support.</li> <li>Strategies for improvement: <ul> <li>MAP Data Analysis</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  | All MAP scores are being<br>monitored to support their<br>growth.  | Fall 2017 to Fall 2018 MAP Mean<br>RIT Reading Scores:<br>3 <sup>rd</sup> to 4 <sup>th</sup> : +10.2 point increase<br>4 <sup>th</sup> to 5 <sup>th</sup> : +7.3 point increase<br>5 <sup>th</sup> to 6 <sup>th</sup> : +4.3 point increase<br>6 <sup>th</sup> to 7 <sup>th</sup> : +7.1 point increase<br>7 <sup>th</sup> to 8 <sup>th</sup> : +7.1 point increase |



|                              |              |   |                  |              |        | <ul> <li>Academic<br/>Vocabulary/Interactive Word<br/>Walls</li> <li>Implementation of Cross-<br/>Curricular Higher Order<br/>Questioning</li> <li>G3 ELA</li> <li>Students have 90-minutes of math<br/>instruction and 90-minutes of ELA<br/>instruction.</li> </ul> |   |  |
|------------------------------|--------------|---|------------------|--------------|--------|---|---|--|
| 86. Teacher<br>turnover.     | 59%          | 49%                                       |                  |              | Yes    | Grade Level Teams<br>Multiple Committees<br>Administrative Support<br>White board in main office<br>acknowledging teachers<br>Created a supportive environment for<br>educators to collaborate; providing<br>continuous professional development                      | Monitor Teacher attendance<br>Administrative walkthroughs<br>Teacher committee membership | At the start of this quarter, there<br>were <u>3</u> position changes to<br>include the closing of 1<br>Kindergarten class, 1 elementary<br>sped class which changed to<br>secondary. 1 new Teacher<br>Assistant. There are no<br>additional changes in the<br>teaching staff. |
| 94. 200 hrs. ELT.            | N/A          | 200 hrs.                                  |                  |              | Yes    | ELT will begin on November 15, 2018   | Student ELT enrollment<br>Student ELT attendance  | ELT in the a.m./p.m.<br>Districtwide Saturday programs   |
| 2. Community<br>School Model | N/A          | Yes                                       |                  |              | Yes    | MLKA continues its School Climate<br>Culture and Safety Committee to monitor<br>and enhance the school climate.<br>Maintain partnership with WJCS and<br>Spectrum<br>Dental visits  | WJCS Reports<br>Spectrum applications   | Parents expressed support<br>during Open House   |
|                              | the school i | phase of the proje<br>s fully implementir | ng this strategy | with impact. | Yellow | Some barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending exist; with adaptation/correction school will be able to achieve desired results.   |   | l<br>nentation / outcomes / spending<br>e at-risk of not being realized; major strategy  |

Part III – Additional Key Strategies – (As applicable)

#### Key Strategies

- Do not repeat strategies described in Parts I and II.
- If the school has selected the SIG 6 or SIG 7 Innovation Framework model, include an analysis of the evidence of the impact of the required lead partner.
- Every school must discuss the use of technology in the classroom to deliver instruction.



| List the<br>SCEP). | e Key Strategy from your approved intervention plan (SIG or  | Status<br>(R/Y/G) | Analysis/Report Out   |            |   |
|--------------------|--|-------------------|---|------------|---|
| 1.                 | Use of technology in the classroom to deliver instruction  |                   | Teachers use various apps. to communicate with familie the classroom  | es; Teache | ers were trained on Clever and use various programs in  |
|                    |  |                   |   |            |   |
| 2.                 | EPO (lead partner) for SIG 6 and SIG 7 ONLY  |                   |   |            |   |
| 3.                 |  |                   |   |            |   |
| 4.                 |  |                   |   |            |   |
| 5.                 |  |                   |   |            |   |
| Green              | Expected results for this phase of the project are fully met, work is on budget, and the school is fully implementing this strategy <u>with impact</u> . | Yellow            | Some barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending exist; with adaptation/correction school will be able to achieve desired results. | Red        | Major barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending<br>encountered; results are at-risk of not being realized; major strategy<br>adjustment is required. |

## Part IV – Community Engagement Team and Receivership Powers

| Describe th<br>school sup | nity Engagement Team (CET)<br>he type, nature, frequency and outcomes of meetings conducted this quarter by the CET. Describe the same for sub-committees. Describe specific outcomes of the CET plan implementation;<br>oport provided; and dissemination of information to whom and for what purpose. If the 18-19 CET plan and/or the 18-19 CET membership changed, please attach copies of those updated<br>s to this report. |
|---------------------------|---|
| Status<br>(R/Y/G)         | Analysis/Report Out   |
|                           | There have not been any changes to the CET Plan. All members have input at CET meetings. Completed Receivership Reports will be distributed to all staff and placed on the school website once the CET Committee has provided input. Meeting information is shared at staff 8:05 meetings and PTSA meetings.  |
| Powers c                  | of the Receiver   |
| Describe th               | he use of the school receiver's powers (pursuant to CR §100.19) during this reporting period. Discuss the goal of each power and its expected impact.   |
| Status                    | Analysis/Report Out   |



| (R/Y/G) |   |        |   |     |   |  |  |  |
|---------|---|--------|---|-----|---|--|--|--|
|         | The Receiver approved the Literacy/Numeracy coach position for this academic year.  |        |   |     |   |  |  |  |
|         |   |        |   |     |   |  |  |  |
|         |   |        |   |     |   |  |  |  |
| Green   | Expected results for this phase of the project are fully met,<br>work is on budget, and the school is fully implementing this | Yellow | Some barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending exist; with adaptation/correction school will be able to achieve desired results. | Red | Major barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending<br>encountered; results are at-risk of not being realized; major |  |  |  |
|         | strategy <u>with impact</u> .   |        |   |     | strategy adjustment is required.  |  |  |  |

### <u>Part V</u> – Community Schools Grant (CSG)

(This section needs to be completed by every receivership school receiving CSG funds during the 8/1/17 – 6/30/19 budget period.)

| Required Activities  | Provide updates to each activity with regard to its planning, implementation, or operations.  |
|--|---|
| Community-Wide Needs Assessment (if one is being conducted in 18-19)   | N/A   |
| <ul> <li>To ensure substantial parent, teacher, and community engagement at this school, provide specific details about these three areas for this reporting period:</li> <li>1. public meetings held with parents, teachers, and community members to provide information and solicit input (CR §100.19: held at least quarterly during the school year)</li> </ul> | The first PTA meeting was held on October 3, 2018 with more than 30 participants. Please note the normal participation rate for the meetings has been a total of 6 excluding the Executive Board. The first meeting was an introduction to the MLKA community. Parents were able to ask questions about school-wide/grade-level activities and the status of the school. In October, MLKA held its 6 <sup>th</sup> annual Parent/Teacher Pasta Night. Both parents and teachers provided pasta dishes, deserts, and salads which fed the entire community of 500 families who were in attendance that evening. School leaders discussed the Receivership status and responded to family inquiries. Teachers also discussed grade-level curriculum, expectations, and student progress within each of their classrooms following the supper. During the month of October, parent workshops were offered that included literacy activities and creating a reader-friendly home. |
| <ol> <li>written notices and communications provided to parents, teachers, other<br/>school personnel, and community members (emails, postings, translated<br/>into recipients' native language)</li> </ol>  | All written notices are disseminated to families in both English and Spanish. All posted materials with the exception of the school menu are posted in English and Spanish.   |
| <ol> <li>parents, teachers, and community members' access to Community School<br/>Site Coordinator and Steering Committee</li> </ol>   | The Community School Site Coordinator is accessible and visible throughout the school community. She is also available by email.  |



| Steering  | Committee (challenges, meetings held, accomplishmen  | ts)           | The CET and Steering Committee are one. There have been no challenges to date.  |                       |  |  |
|-----------|--|---------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| Feeder Se | chool Services (specific services offered and impact)  |               | N/A   | N/A                   |  |  |
| Commun    | ity School Site Coordinator (accomplishments and chall   | lenges)       | Established new partnerships<br>United States Tennis Association is a new partner in conjunction with Yonkers Tennis offered at no cost for 4 <sup>th</sup> and<br>5 <sup>th</sup> grades.<br>The 2nd grade has begun chess-There are 2 chess masters teaching chess during recess-All chess materials were<br>provided at no cost to MLKA. |                       |  |  |
| -         | matic Costs (accomplishments and challenges based on on the Attachment C school plan)  | the approve   | d No costs for programs   |                       |  |  |
| -         | ost Project(s) (accomplishments and challenges based c<br>on the Attachment C school plan)   | on the approv | There were no capital expenditures during this quarter.   |                       |  |  |
| Green     | Expected results for this phase of the project are fully met, work is on budget, and the school is fully implementing this strategy <u>with impact</u> . |               | Some barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending exist; with adaptation/correction school will be able to achieve desired results. Red Major barriers to implementation / outcomes / encountered; results are at-risk of not being realize strategy adjustment is required.  | spending<br>ed; major |  |  |

### <u> Part VI</u> – Budget

(This section should be completed by all schools funded by the Persistently Struggling Schools Grant (PSSG), the School Improvement Grant (SIG), and the Community Schools Grant (CSG). Add rows as needed.)

| Budget Analysis     |               |   |  |  |  |
|---------------------|---------------|---|--|--|--|
| Identify the grant. | Status(R/Y/G) | If expenditures from the approved <b>2017-19</b> (PSSG, CSG) or 2018-19 (SIG 1003(g) FS-10 are on target, describe their impact. If there are challenges describe the course correction to be put in place for Quarter 2. |  |  |  |
| PSSG:               |               |   |  |  |  |
| SIG:                |               |   |  |  |  |



| CSG: | Chess Master contract in place and students are receiving classes. Funding covered teacher hourly for PD and planning. |
|------|--|
|      |  |

## Part VII: Best Practices (Optional)

| Best Practices  |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The New York State Education Department recognizes the importance of sharing best practices within schools and districts. Please take this opportunity to share one or more best practices currently being implemented in the school. It is the intention of the Department to share these best practices with schools and districts in receivership. |   |  |  |  |  |
| List the best practice currently being implemented in the school.   | Describe the significant improvements in student performance, instructional practice, student/family engagement, and/or school climate that the best practice has had. Discuss the analysis of data/evidence to determine the impact. Describe the possibility of replication in other schools. |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3.  |   |  |  |  |  |



#### Part VIII – Assurance and Attestation

By signing below, I attest to the fact that the information in this quarterly report is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge; and that the all requirements with regard to public hearings and the community engagement teams, as per CR§ 100.19 have been met.

| Name of Receiver (Print): |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Signature of Receiver:    |  |
| Date:                     |  |

By signing below, I attest to the fact that the community engagement team has had the opportunity to provide input into this quarterly report, and the opportunity to review, and update if necessary, its 2018-2019 community engagement team plan and membership.

| Name of CET Representative (Prin | t): |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| Signature of CET Representative: |     |
| Date:                            |     |